IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

-

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 15453 of 2004

-

Between:

R. Laxmipathy S/o R. Chandru
R/o Ursu-Rangapur Village, Achampet Mandal,

Mahabubnagar District

..... PETITIONER

AND

-

1 The Principal Conservator of Forests, Andhra Pradesh,

Hyderabad
2 The Conservator of Forests & Field Director, Project

Tiger, Srisailam,
3 The Divisional Forest Officer, Wild life Management

Division, Achampet, Mahabubnagar District
4 The Forest Range Officer, Achampet, Mahabubnagar

District
5 The Deputy Forest Range Officer, Rangapur Section,

Achampet, Mahabubnagar district

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ or order or direction, particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in not considering and disposing of the representation of the petitioner dated 14-7-2004 requesting them to see that the amount of Rs.6,02,245/- is paid to him immediately towards execution of developmental works as mentioned therein and in the legal notice dated 31-7-2004 issued to them, till today as illegal, arbitrary and unwarranted, and consequently

direct the respondents to immediately consider and dispose of the said representation of the petitioner within one month from the date or receipt of the order.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.KUSURI.SATYANARAYANA

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR FORESTS

The Court Made the Following ORDER:

The petitioner by invoking the extraordinary jurisdiction of this court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, virtually seeks a money decree alleging that certain developmental works entrusted to him were executed in the forest area of Achampet Forest Division, Mahabubnagar District and as per the Measurement Book an amount of Rs.6,02,245/- was due from the respondent for the said developmental works.

If the petitioner got any works executed pursuant to the allotment, the proper course open for the petitioner is to move competent Civil Court with necessary pleadings for due recovery of the amounts. In this writ petition no relief can be granted to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed at the admission stage with liberty to the petitioner to move appropriate Civil Court for due recovery of amounts, if any, from the respondents.

_____

A. Gopal Reddy, J.

30th August, 2004.

Js.

..... REGISTRAR

To

1 The Principal Conservator of Forests, Andhra Pradesh,

Hyderabad
2 The Conservator of Forests & Field Director, Project

Tiger, Srisailam,
3 The Divisional Forest Officer, Wild life Management

Division, Achampet, Mahabubnagar District
4 The Forest Range Officer, Achampet, Mahabubnagar

District
5 The Deputy Forest Range Officer, Rangapur Section,

Achampet, Mahabubnagar district

6 2 CCs to G.P. for Forests, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad.

7 2 CD copies.